

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/706,667	11/06/2000	Arnold Karel Jansen Van Doorn	PHN-17.714	8978	
24737 7	590 06/23/2005		EXAMINER		
	PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001		PAYNE, DAVID C		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2638		

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	÷		
	,		
н	L		
q			
		١	

Advisory Action				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief				

_						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/706,667	JANSEN VAN DOORN, ARNOLD KAREL				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David C. Payne	2638				

	David C. Payne	2638	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completely following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	-		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estince a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered l	hecause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	eaucing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant Amenament	(1 10L-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate	-	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		rill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3, and 5-7.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu Applicant's assertion that the Chen filter does not teach and 3 of the prior art. Furthermore, applicant argues lim	an adaptive filter as claimed as be	en specficially addre	sssed in col. 2
the claim language as argued and whose relevance to t	he claims at hand are not clear. Ar	pplicant's arguments	are non-
persuasive, the finality of the rejection stands. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	า
13. Other:	, ,		

David C. Payne
Patent Examiner
AU 2638

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050620